

NILS INDIA LAW REVIEW - Editing & Reviewing Policy

The NILS India Law Review (NILR) seeks to promote outreach of legal scholarship and serve as a platform for free exchange of ideas on legal policies, laws and issues of relevance to the larger legal fraternity. The Review is particularly committed to publishing articles on issues of contemporary interest and relevance.

1. All the articles would undergo a rigorous selection and editing process designed to sharpen and strengthen substance and tone. This is done to achieve academic excellence thereby maintaining ingenuity and quality of the review.

2. Submissions are accepted for review with the understanding that the work has been neither submitted to, nor published in, another publication. Simultaneous submission to other publications will result in immediate rejection of the paper. Papers that are not within the journal scope will be rejected immediately after the pre-review process.

PEER REVIEW PROCESS

All manuscripts will be subject to a well established, fair, unbiased blind peer review and refereeing procedure, and are considered on the basis of their significance, novelty and usefulness to the Journal's readership. The reviewing structure will always ensure the anonymity of the referees & it will be reviewed in 3 stages -

Stage 1) *Plagiarism Check (Upto 25% is permissible)*

Stage 2) *Review by the First editor.*

Stage 3) *Review by the Second editor.*

Note - The manuscripts submitted for publication are subject to review by the Editor-In-Chief, NILS India.

3. The review output will be one of the following decisions:

- A. Publish, no significant alterations suggested.
- B. Publish, but suggest changes to the article as specified in this review.
- C. Publish, but suggestions as specified in this review must be addressed by either making changes or explaining why changes would be inappropriate.
- D. Reject, but encourage author to try a major revision and a second peer review.

4. The review process may take some time to be completed. The Editorial board after due consultation reserves the right to reject a paper if it does not meet the aims and scope of the journal, it is not revised well.